

Documentation of Inputs to Macroeconomic Assessment of the
[Climate Action Team Report to the Governor and Legislature,](#)



January 2006

INTRODUCTION

Staff in the Economic Studies Section of the Air Resources Board performed a preliminary economic assessment of the mitigation strategies identified in the Climate Action Team plan.¹ This document, intended for discussion at a workshop, is a compilation of costs and savings calculations for the economic model inputs. The major tool used for the analysis of the economic impact of the proposed strategies is a model of the California economy developed by the University of California, Berkeley, named the Environmental Dynamic Revenue Analysis Model (E-DRAM). Staff ran E-DRAM to derive the potential impacts of the combined strategies. The pages that follow contain annotated calculations for each of the mitigation strategies evaluated.

The first section shows the energy prices used in all the calculations. The California Energy Commission provided prices in 2005 dollars, which we converted to 2003 dollars, because E-DRAM uses a 2003 price level.

The strategies are bundled by agency:

- Air Resources Board
- California Energy Commission
- California Public Utility Commission
- Department of Food and Agriculture
- Integrated Waste Management Board
- Resources Agency

For each agency, the strategies appear in this order: first, strategies, if any, from Table 5-1; then, strategies, if any, from Table 5-2. The documentation starts with the name of the strategy as it appears in Table 5-1 or 5.2.

All of the strategies had costs associated with them. Many had costs in 2010 as well as in 2020. Some, but not all, of the strategies also had savings due to reduction or displacement of fuel. The results of the calculation, that is, the costs and savings due to the strategy, are highlighted.

In some cases, we received preliminary cost and savings numbers from the agencies themselves. In other cases, we relied on numbers from UC Berkeley or from a previous analysis of information received from the Tellus Institute.

The entire analysis is preliminary. We intend to redo the analysis with refined inputs later this year. The refined analysis will draw on improved cost and savings information provided by the agencies, as well as comments and suggestions offered on the preliminary analysis discussed here.

¹ [FINAL DRAFT of Chapter 8 on Economic Assessment](http://www.climatechange.ca.gov/climate_action_team/reports/2006-01-12_CHAPTER_8_DRAFT.PDF) of Climate Action Team Report to the Governor and Legislature, Posted: January 12, 2006. At http://www.climatechange.ca.gov/climate_action_team/reports/2006-01-12_CHAPTER_8_DRAFT.PDF

Energy Prices

Energy prices provided by CEC, in 2005 dollars:

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|------------------------|-------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Price natural gas 2010 | 6.14 | dollars per Mcf | CEC |
| Price natural gas 2020 | 8.62 | dollars per Mcf | CEC |
| Price electricity 2010 | 116.6 | dollars per MWh | CEC |
| Price electricity 2020 | 116.6 | dollars per MWh | CEC |
| Price gasoline 2010 | 2.12 | dollars per gallon | CEC |
| Price gasoline 2020 | 2.19 | dollars per gallon | CEC |
| Price diesel fuel 2010 | 2.06 | dollars per gallon | CEC |
| Price diesel fuel 2020 | 2.13 | dollars per gallon | CEC |

CEC provided natural gas prices in terms of dollars per Mcf, but we based the calculations on a price in terms of dollars per MMBtu. So we have to convert the units.

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|------------------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Conversion factor | 928 | Btu/Scf | GREET ² |
| | 0.928 | MMBtu per Mcf | |
| Price natural gas 2010 | 5.70 | dollars per MMBtu | |
| Price natural gas 2020 | 8.00 | dollars per MMBtu | |

Also we need to convert prices to year 2003 dollars, because E-DRAM requires inputs in 2003 dollars.

| Quantity | Value | Source/Comments |
|---------------|-------|---------------------------------------|
| CPI June 2003 | 189.9 | CA Department of Industrial Relations |
| CPI June 2005 | 201.3 | CA Department of Industrial Relations |

Energy prices used in the calculation of E-DRAM inputs:

| Quantity | Value | Units |
|------------------------|--------|--------------------|
| Price natural gas 2010 | 5.38 | dollars per MMBtu |
| Price natural gas 2020 | 7.55 | dollars per MMBtu |
| Price electricity 2010 | 110.00 | dollars per MWh |
| Price electricity 2020 | 110.00 | dollars per MWh |
| Price gasoline 2010 | 2.00 | dollars per gallon |
| Price gasoline 2020 | 2.07 | dollars per gallon |
| Price diesel fuel 2010 | 1.94 | dollars per gallon |
| Price diesel fuel 2020 | 2.01 | dollars per gallon |

² The Greenhouse Gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy Use in Transportation (GREET) Model. <http://www.transportation.anl.gov/software/GREET/index.html>

Savings Factors

Numerous strategies contain fuel savings. Therefore, we only need to show the calculations for savings factors once. The savings factor relates the dollars saved from reduced fuel consumption to the tons of CO₂ equivalent not released to the atmosphere. Thus, the units are dollars per metric ton CO₂ equivalent (MtCO₂e).

Electricity Savings Factor:

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-------------------------|----------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Price | \$110.00 | per MWh | |
| CO ₂ per kWh | 521 | grams per kWh | GREET for natural gas power plant |
| Electricity factor | \$0.21 | dollars per kilogram | |
| | 211.13 | dollars per Mt | |

In other words, if consumers reduce electricity consumption by 1.92 MWh, then CO₂ emissions decrease by 1 metric ton and consumers save \$211.

Natural Gas Savings Factor (for combustion to CO₂):

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|----------------------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Price | \$7.55 | dollars per MMBtu | UCB |
| C in gas | 31.90 | lbC/ MMBtu | GREET |
| CO ₂ /C | 3.67 | | Molecular weights |
| CO ₂ from natural gas | 116.97 | pounds per MMBtu | |
| Natural gas factor | 0.06 | dollars per pound | |
| | 141.94 | dollars per Mt | |

Natural Gas Savings Factor (for escape of methane):

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|--|-------|---------------------------------|--|
| Cost of gas | 7.55 | dollars per MMBtu | CEC |
| C in gas | 31.90 | lbC/ MMBtu | GREET |
| CH ₄ /C | 1.33 | | Ratio of molecular weights |
| CH ₄ in gas | 42.53 | lbCH ₄ / MMBtu | Carbon content * ratio of molecular weights |
| | 0.019 | Mt CH ₄ / MMBtu | |
| CH ₄ Global warming potential | 21 | | |
| GHG from escaping gas | 0.41 | MtCO ₂ e / MMBtu | Multiply global warming potential * CH ₄ in gas |
| Natural gas savings factor | 18.59 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | Divide price by CO ₂ e from natural gas |

This is for strategies capturing CH₄ that otherwise escapes to the atmosphere.

Gasoline Savings Factor:

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-------------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|
| gasoline density | 2,794 | grams per gallon | REET |
| C ratio | 0.84 | | REET |
| CO ₂ /C | 3.67 | | |
| CO ₂ from gasoline | 8,556 | grams per gallon | |
| Savings factor 2010 | 0.00023 | dollars per gram | |
| Savings factor 2010 | 233.74 | dollars per Mt | |
| Savings factor 2020 | 0.0002 | dollars per gram | |
| Savings factor 2020 | 241.46 | dollars per Mt | |

Diesel Fuel Savings Factor:

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|----------------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|
| diesel fuel density | 3,240 | grams per gallon | REET |
| C ratio | 0.87 | | REET |
| CO ₂ /C | 3.67 | | |
| CO ₂ from diesel fuel | 10,336 | grams per gallon | |
| Savings factor 2010 | 0.00019 | dollars per gram | |
| Savings factor 2010 | 188.02 | dollars per Mt | |
| Savings factor 2020 | 0.00019 | dollars per gram | |
| Savings factor 2020 | 194.41 | dollars per Mt | |

**Air Resources Board
Strategies from Table 5-1
Vehicle Climate Change Standards**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | <i>The Board passed this regulation in September 2004. We scale the costs and savings from the staff analysis, adjusting for price levels.</i> |
| CPI 2004 | 195.4 | | CA Department of Industrial Relations |
| CPI 2003 | 190.4 | | CA Department of Industrial Relations |
| AB1493 Gasoline price | 1.74 | dollars per gallon | |
| Cost(2004\$) 2010 | 12.32 | | AB 1493 calculations |
| Cost(2004\$) 2020 | 1,235.83 | | AB 1493 calculations |
| Savings(2004\$) 2010 | 131.15 | | AB 1493 calculations |
| Savings(2004\$) 2020 | 5,277.96 | | AB 1493 calculations |
| | | | <i>For costs, convert from 2004 dollars to 2003 dollars.</i> |
| Cost(2003\$) 2010 | 12.00 | million dollars | Multiply ratio of CPI * AB 1493 calc cost |
| Cost(2003\$) 2020 | 1,204.21 | million dollars | |
| | | | <i>For savings, adjust for the price of gasoline.</i> |
| Savings(2003\$) 2010 | 150.75 | million dollars | Multiply ratio of gasoline prices * AB 1493 calc savings |
| Savings(2003\$) 2020 | 6,266.75 | million dollars | |

**Air Resources Board
Strategies from Table 5-1
Diesel Anti-idling**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Cost-effectiveness | -50 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | Calculation based on numbers from staff report |
| Emission reductions 2010 | 1.0 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-1 |
| Emission reductions 2020 | 1.2 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-1 |
| Diesel saving factor 2010 | 188.02 | dollars per Mt | |
| Diesel saving factor 2020 | 194.41 | dollars per Mt | |
| | | | |
| Savings 2010 | 188.02 | million dollars | Multiply saving factor * emission reductions |
| Savings 2020 | 233.30 | million dollars | |
| | | | |
| Net cost 2010 | -50.00 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reductions |
| Net cost 2020 | -60.00 | million dollars | |
| | | | |
| Implementation cost 2010 | 138.02 | million dollars | Net cost = savings + implementation cost |
| Implementation cost 2020 | 173.30 | million dollars | |

**Air Resources Board
Strategies from Table 5-2
Other New Light Duty Vehicle Technology Improvements**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------------------|--|
| CO2 reduction from 2017 baseline | 16.5% | | CCAP - Center for Clean Air Policy ³ |
| Cost per vehicle | 1,450 | dollars | CCAP |
| Baseline 2017 F.E. | 39.9 | miles / gallon | CCAP |
| Price of gasoline | 2.07 | dollars / gallon | CEC |
| Gasoline factor | 8,556.35 | grams CO2 / gallon | |
| Annual VMT | 13,000 | miles / year | |
| CO2 emissions | 214.44 | grams / mile | Divide gasoline factor by baseline 2017 F.E. |
| | | | <i>Calculation of CO2 reductions</i> |
| Annual CO2 reduction per vehicle | 459,984.15 | grams CO2 / year | Multiply percent reduction CO2 emissions * annual VMT |
| | 0.46 | MtCO2 / year | Convert grams to metric tons |
| | | | <i>Calculation of compliance cost</i> |
| Capital recovery factor | 0.0855 | | CRF corresponding to 5% and 18 years. |
| Annualized cost per vehicle | 124.04 | dollars / year | Multiply CRF * cost per vehicle |
| | | | <i>Calculation of savings</i> |
| Gasoline consumed | 325.81 | gallons / year | Divide annual VMT by F.E. |
| Gasoline reduction | 53.76 | gallons / year | Multiply percent reduction by gasoline consumption |
| Annual savings | 111.07 | dollars / vehicle | Multiply by price of gasoline |
| | | | <i>Calculation of net cost</i> |
| Net cost per vehicle | 12.98 | dollars / vehicle | Subtract savings from compliance cost |
| | | | <i>Calculation of cost-effectiveness, total savings, total compliance cost</i> |
| Cost-effectiveness | 28.21 | dollars / MtCO2 | Divide cost by emission reduction |
| Gasoline savings factor | 241.46 | dollars / Mt | |
| Emission reduction | 5.4 | MMtCO2e | Table 5-2 |
| Net cost | 152.34 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Savings | 1,303.86 | million dollars | Multiply gasoline savings factor * emission reduction |
| Compliance cost | 1,456.20 | million dollars | Net cost = savings + implementation cost |

³ http://www.climatechange.ca.gov/documents/2005-10-14_CCAP_REPORTS/CCAP_REPORT_TRANSPORTATION.PDF

**Air Resources Board
Strategies from Table 5-2
HFC Reduction Strategy**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-------------------------|-------|----------------------|---|
| | | | <i>We base the calculation on cost-effectiveness provided by Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics at UC Berkeley</i> |
| Cost-effectiveness | 1.46 | dollars per Mt | UCB |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 3.4 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 8.5 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Cost 2010 | 4.97 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Cost 2020 | 12.42 | million dollars | |

**Air Resources Board
Strategies from Table 5-2
Transport Refrigeration Units, Off-Road Electrification, Port Electrification**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-------------------------|-------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| TRU reductions 2020 | 0.14 | MMtCO ₂ e | ARB |
| TRU cost by 2020 | 105 | million dollars | ARB |
| Ship reductions 2020 | 0.18 | MMtCO ₂ e | ARB |
| Ship cost by 2020 | 180 | million dollars | ARB |
| Lifetime | 20 | years | |
| Discount rate | 5% | per year | |
| Capital recovery factor | 0.08 | | CRF for 20 years @ 5% |
| Annualized cost | 22.8 | million dollars | Multiply CRF times capital costs |

**Air Resources Board
Strategies from Table 5-2
Manure Management**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|---------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|---|
| | | | <i>We base the calculation on cost-effectiveness provided by Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics at UC Berkeley</i> |
| Net cost-effectiveness | 25.90 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | UCB |
| Emission reduction | 1 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Natural gas saving factor | 18.59 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | <i>Takes into account GWP of escaped methane</i> |
| Recovery factor | 50% | | <i>Not all captured gas is good enough to use as fuel</i> |
| Net cost | 25.90 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Savings | 9.29 | million dollars | Multiply factors * emission reduction |
| Compliance cost | 35.20 | million dollars | Net cost = savings + implementation cost |

**Air Resources Board
Strategies from Table 5-2
Semi Conductor Industry Targets (PFC Emissions)**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------------------|---|
| | | | <i>We base the calculation on cost-effectiveness provided by Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics at UC Berkeley</i> |
| Cost-effectiveness | 34.66 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | UCB |
| Emission reductions | 2 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Cost | 69.32 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |

**Air Resources Board
Strategies from Table 5-2
Alternative Fuels: Biodiesel Blends**

This calculation relies on the Tellus analysis. For years when biodiesel is more expensive than conventional diesel fuel, we report the incremental cost. For years when biodiesel is less expensive, we assume that its price will be the same as for conventional diesel.

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--|
| Tellus incremental cost | 0.23 | dollars per gallon | Tellus |
| Tellus gasoline cost | 1.73 | dollars per gallon | Tellus |
| Biodiesel cost | 1.96 | dollars per gallon | Add the two cost components together. |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 0.40 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-1 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 0.80 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-1 |
| CO ₂ from diesel fuel | 10,336 | grams per gallon | |
| | 1.03E-08 | MMt per gallon | |
| Biodiesel GHG reduction | 78% | | Tellus. Biodiesel produces 78% less GHG than conventional diesel |
| Biodiesel quantity 2010 | 49,616,908 | gallons | Emission reduction / (GHG reduction * CO ₂ from diesel) |
| Biodiesel quantity 2020 | 99,233,816 | gallons | |
| Price diesel fuel 2010 | 1.94 | dollars per gallon | CEC |
| Price diesel fuel 2020 | 2.01 | dollars per gallon | CEC |
| Price difference 2010 | 0.02 | dollars per gallon | Subtract price of conventional diesel from price of biodiesel |
| Price difference 2020 | (0.05) | dollars per gallon | |
| Additional cost 2010 | 826,702 | dollars | If price diff is positive, multiply by fuel quantity |
| Additional cost 2020 | - | dollars | |
| Implementation cost 2010 | 0.83 | million dollars | |
| Implementation cost 2020 | - | million dollars | |

**Air Resources Board
Strategies from Table 5-2
Alternative Fuels: Ethanol**

ARB follows the Tellus analysis. We have to revise the Tellus cost-effectiveness result to take into account a different gasoline price.

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--|
| Tellus cost-effectiveness | 278 | dollars per Mt | Tellus, for corn-based ethanol |
| Tellus gasoline price | 1.73 | dollars per gallon | Tellus |
| Price gasoline | 2.07 | dollars per gallon | |
| Diff gasoline price | 0.34 | dollars per gallon | Subtract Tellus price from price used here |
| CO2 from gasoline | 8,556 | grams per gallon | |
| | 0.0086 | Mt per gallon | |
| Diff cost-effectiveness | 39 | dollars per Mt | Divide difference in gasoline price by CO2 from gasoline |
| Cost-effectiveness | 239 | dollars per Mt | Adjust by subtracting diff C/E from Tellus C/E |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 0.2 | MMtCO2e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 2.7 | MMtCO2e | Table 5-2 |
| Cost 2010 | 47.75 | million dollars | |
| Cost 2020 | 644.58 | million dollars | |

**Air Resources Board
Strategies from Table 5-2
Heavy Duty Vehicle Emission Reduction Measures**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-------------------------|---------|----------------------|--|
| Net cost-effectiveness | -113 | dollars per Mt | Tellus |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 3 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Savings factor 2020 | 194.41 | dollars per Mt | |
| Net cost | -339.00 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Savings | 583.24 | million dollars | Multiply savings factor * emission reduction |
| Compliance cost | 244.24 | million dollars | Net cost = savings + compliance cost |

**Air Resources Board
Strategies from Table 5-2
Reduced Venting and Leaks in Oil and Gas Systems**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|---------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|---|
| | | | <i>We base the calculation on cost-effectiveness provided by Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics at UC Berkeley</i> |
| Net cost-effectiveness | 0.33 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | UCB |
| Emission reduction | 1 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Natural gas saving factor | 18.59 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | <i>Takes into account GWP of escaped methane</i> |
| Recovery factor | 50% | | <i>Not all captured gas is good enough to use as fuel</i> |
| Net cost | 0.33 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Savings | 9.29 | million dollars | Multiply factors * emission reduction |
| Compliance cost | 9.62 | million dollars | Net cost = savings + implementation cost |

**California Energy Commission
Strategies from Table 5-1
Building Energy Efficiency Standards**

The CEC notes that there are savings due to both electricity and natural gas. However, the electricity savings alone is the amount needed to achieve the emission reductions. Therefore, for the preliminary analysis we used only electricity savings.

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------|---|
| | | | <i>CEC provides the annualized cost.</i> |
| Cost of reduction 2010 | 60.45 | million dollars | CEC |
| Cost of reduction 2020 | 175.59 | million dollars | CEC |
| | | | <i>We calculate the savings due to electricity.</i> |
| Electricity savings rate | 211.13 | dollars per Mt | |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 1 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-1 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 2 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-1 |
| Savings 2010 | 211.13 | million dollars | Multiply savings rate * emission reduction |
| Savings 2020 | 422.25 | million dollars | |

**California Energy Commission
Strategies from Table 5-1
Building Energy Efficiency Standards**

The CEC notes that there are savings due to both electricity and natural gas. It reports savings such that the electricity savings alone is the amount needed to achieve the emission reductions. Therefore, for the preliminary analysis, we use electricity only.

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|--------------------------|----------|----------------------|---|
| | | | <i>CEC provides the annualized cost.</i> |
| Cost of reduction 2010 | 61.69 | million dollars | CEC |
| Cost of reduction 2020 | 152.43 | million dollars | CEC |
| | | | <i>We calculate savings for electricity.</i> |
| Electricity savings rate | 211.13 | dollars per Mt | |
| | | | <i>We apply these rates to emissions to get costs, savings.</i> |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 3 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-1 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 5 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-1 |
| Savings 2010 | 633.38 | million dollars | Multiply savings rate * emission reduction |
| Savings 2020 | 1,055.63 | million dollars | |

**California Energy Commission
Strategies from Table 5-1
Fuel-efficient Replacement Tires & Inflation Programs**

We relied on Tellus for the cost assumptions of this strategy. We had to update the cost-effectiveness because we use a different price of gasoline than Tellus.

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|--|
| NPV implementation cost | \$1,242 | million dollars | Tellus |
| NPV Tellus fuel savings | (3,339) | million dollars | Tellus |
| Tellus gasoline price | 1.11 | dollar per gallon | Tellus |
| Price gasoline 2010 | 2.00 | dollars per gallon | |
| Price gasoline 2020 | 2.07 | dollars per gallon | |
| Average price gasoline | 2.03 | dollars per gallon | Take average of 2010 and 2020 forecasts |
| Scaled NPV fuel savings | (6,017) | million dollars | Scale NPV fuel savings by gasoline prices |
| Net cost Tellus strategy | (\$4,774) | million dollars | NPV implementation cost plus NPV Tellus savings |
| Proportion | 79% | | Net savings divided by scaled fuel savings. |
| | | | <i>We need to calculate the gasoline savings factor.</i> |
| gasoline density | 2,794 | grams per gallon | GREET |
| C ratio | 0.84 | | GREET |
| CO ₂ /C | 3.67 | | Ratio of atomic weights. |
| CO ₂ from gasoline | 8,556 | grams per gallon | Multiply density * C ratio * CO ₂ /C |
| Gasoline savings factor | (0.0002) | dollars per gram | Divide average price gasoline by CO ₂ from gasoline |
| | (237.60) | dollars per Mt | |
| | | | <i>Now we calculate the cost factors</i> |
| Net cost factor | (188.54) | dollars per Mt | Multiply proportion * gasoline savings factor |
| Implementation cost factor | 49.06 | dollars per Mt | Net cost factor - gasoline savings factor |
| | | | <i>Now we can calculate dollar amounts</i> |
| Annual emission reduction | 1.5 | MMtCO ₂ e | Same for both 2010 and 2020 |
| Implementation cost | 73.59 | million dollars | Multiply implementation cost factor * emission reduction |
| Savings | 356.39 | million dollars | Multiply gasoline savings factor * emission reduction |

**California Energy Commission
Strategies from Table 5-2
Cement Manufacturing**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|--------------------|-------|----------------------|---|
| | | | <i>We base the calculation on cost-effectiveness provided by Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics at UC Berkeley</i> |
| Savings factor | 7.34 | dollars per Mt | UCB |
| Cost factor | 2.37 | dollars per Mt | UCB |
| Emission reduction | 1 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Savings | 7.34 | million dollars | Multiply factors * emission reduction |
| Compliance cost | 2.37 | million dollars | |

**California Energy Commission
Strategies from Table 5-2
Municipal Utility Energy Efficiency Programs/Demand Response**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|----------------------------|----------|----------------------|--|
| Electricity Savings Factor | 211.13 | dollars per Mt | |
| Cost factor | 0.04 | dollars per kilogram | Divide cost of saved electricity / CO ₂ per kWh |
| | 44.15 | dollars per Mt | |
| | | | <i>Emission reductions</i> |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 1 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 5.9 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| | | | <i>We calculate savings and costs</i> |
| Savings 2010 | 211.13 | million dollars | Multiply savings rate * emission reduction |
| Savings 2020 | 1,245.64 | million dollars | |
| Implementation cost 2010 | 44.15 | million dollars | Multiply cost factor * emission reduction |
| Implementation cost 2020 | 260.46 | million dollars | |

**California Energy Commission
Strategies from Table 5-2
Municipal Utility Renewable Portfolio Standard**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-------------------------|-------|----------------------|--|
| Cost-effectiveness | 8.73 | dollars per Mt | Same as for CPUC strategy in Table 5-1 on Accelerated Renewable Portfolio Standard |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 3.2 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Cost 2020 | 27.93 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |

**California Energy Commission
Strategies from Table 5-2
Municipal Utility Combined Heat and Power**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|--|
| Net cost-effectiveness | (113.89) | dollars per Mt | Same as for CPUC strategy in Table 5-2 on IOW Combined Heat and Power Initiative |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 0.3 | MMtCO ₂ e | CEC |
| Natural gas savings: | | | |
| Price natural gas 2020 | 7.55 | dollars per MMBtu | - |
| C in gas | 31.90 | lbC/ MMBtu | GREET |
| CO ₂ /C | 3.67 | | |
| CO ₂ from natural gas | 116.97 | pounds per MMBtu | Multiply by ratio of molecular weight |
| Natural gas factor | 0.06 | dollars per pound | Price divided by CO ₂ from natural gas |
| | 141.94 | dollars per Mt | |
| Natural gas savings 2020 | 42.58 | million dollars | Multiply natural gas factor * emission reduction |
| Net cost 2020 | (34.17) | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Implementation cost 2020 | 8.42 | million dollars | Net cost = savings + implementation cost |

**California Energy Commission
Strategies from Table 5-2
Municipal Utility Electricity Sector Carbon Policy**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--|
| Cost-effectiveness | 10 | dollars per Mt | Tellus |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 3 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 9 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Cost 2010 | 30 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Cost 2020 | 90 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |

**California Public Utility Commission
Strategies from Table 5-1
Accelerated Renewable Portfolio Std (33% by 2020)**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|--|--------|--------------------------|---|
| Capital cost | 1.2 | billion dollars | CPUC. This budget amount in the preliminary estimate of the incremental costs to the IOUs for years 2011-2020 and does not include potential infrastructure costs or municipal utility investment that will be needed to meet the 33% goal. |
| Project lifetime | 20 | years | CPUC |
| CO2 per kWh | 521 | grams per kWh | GREET for natural gas power plant |
| Price electricity | 110.00 | dollars per MWh | CEC |
| Capital Recovery Factor, 20 years @ 5% | 0.08 | | |
| Annualized cost 2020 | 96 | million dollars per year | Multiply Capital Recovery Factor * Capital cost. |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 5 | MMtCO2e | Table 5-1 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 11 | MMtCO2e | Table 5-1 |
| Annualized cost 2010 | 43.64 | million dollars per year | Scale 2020 cost by emission reductions. |
| Cost-effectiveness | 8.73 | dollars per Mt | Divide cost by emission reduction |
| To summarize and put the results all in one place: | | | |
| Annualized cost 2010 | 44 | million dollars per year | |
| Annualized cost 2020 | 96 | million dollars per year | |
| Annualized savings 2020+ | | | Using the CEC's long-term forecast of natural gas prices, IOU ratepayers would likely realize a net benefit over a 20 year period. |

**California Public Utility Commission
Strategies from Table 5-1
California Solar Initiative**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|--|--------|--------------------------|--|
| Capacity | 3000 | MW | CPUC |
| Cost per watt | 6 | dollars per watt | Tellus |
| Capital cost | 18000 | million dollars | Multiply cost per watt * power capacity |
| | 18 | billion dollars | |
| Incentives | 2.9 | billion dollars | OK. The incentives are less than the capital cost. |
| Capital Recovery Factor | 0.08 | CRF for 20 years @ 5% | |
| Annualized cost 2020 | 1440 | million dollars per year | Multiply Capital Recovery Factor * Capital cost. |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 0.4 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-1 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 3 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-1 |
| Annualized cost 2010 | 192 | million dollars per year | Scale 2020 cost by emission reductions |
| Implementation cost | 480.00 | dollars per Mt | Divide 2020 cost by 2020 emission reduction |
| Electricity Savings Factor | 211.13 | dollars per Mt | |
| Savings 2010 | 84 | million dollars | Multiply savings factor times emission reduction |
| Savings 2020 | 633 | million dollars | |
| Net Cost-effectiveness | 269 | dollars per Mt | Difference between implementation cost per Mt and savings factor |
| | | | |
| To summarize and put all the results in one place: | | | |
| Annualized cost 2010 | 192 | million dollars per year | |
| Annualized cost 2020 | 1,440 | million dollars per year | |
| Savings 2010 | 84 | million dollars | |
| Savings 2020 | 633 | million dollars | |

**California Public Utility Commission
Strategies from Table 5-1
Investor Owned Utility Energy Efficiency Programs**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|---|--------------|-----------------|--|
| Electricity savings | 23000 | GWh | CPUC. Savings through 2013. |
| Natural gas savings | 453 | MMth | CPUC. Savings through 2013. |
| Electricity cost factor | 3 | cents / kWh | CPUC |
| Nat gas cost factor | 21 | cents / therm | CPUC |
| Electricity price | \$110.00 | dollars / MWh | CEC |
| Price natural gas 2010 | 5.38 | dollars / MMBtu | CEC |
| Price natural gas 2020 | 7.55 | dollars / MMBtu | CEC |
| | | | <i>First the fraction of reductions due to electricity and to nat gas.</i> |
| CO2 per GWh | 521 | tons / GWh | |
| Elec CO2 reduction | 11,983,000 | MtCO2e | CO2 per GWh * electricity savings |
| | 12.0 | MMtCO2e | |
| Natural gas savings | 45,300,000 | MMBtu | 1 therm = 0.1 MMBtu |
| Nat gat CO2 reduction | 2.7 | MMtCO2e | CO2 per MMBtu * nat gas savings |
| Electricity fraction, Natural gas fraction | 82%, 18% | | Electricity CO2 reduction / total CO2 reduction |
| | | | <i>Next, calculate costs and savings for electricity</i> |
| Emission red 2010 | 4.00 | MMtCO2e | Table 5-1 |
| Emission red 2020 | 8.80 | MMtCO2e | Table 5-1 |
| Electricity cost factor | 30 | dollars / MWh | |
| | | | Multiply electricity fraction * emission reduction |
| Elec reduction 2010 | 3,261,836 | MtCO2e | |
| Elec reduction 2020 | 7,176,040 | MtCO2e | |
| Elec reduction 2010 | 6,261 | GWh | emission red. / CO2 per GWh |
| Elec reduction 2020 | 13,774 | GWh | |
| Cost to save elec 2010 | \$187.82 | million dollars | electricity cost factor * energy |
| Cost to save elec 2020 | \$413.21 | million dollars | |
| Electricity savings 2010 | \$688.66 | million dollars | Multiply electricity price * energy |
| Electricity savings 2020 | \$1,515.05 | million dollars | |
| | | | <i>Next, calculate costs and savings for natural gas</i> |
| Nat gas reduction 2010 | 0.74 | MMtCO2e | nat gas fraction * emission red |
| Nat gas reduction 2020 | 1.62 | MMtCO2e | |
| CO2 per MMBtu | 0.06 | MtCO2e / MMBtu | |
| Nat gas reduction 2010 | 12,330,901 | MMBtu | emission red / CO2 per MMBtu |
| Nat gas reduction 2020 | 27,127,982 | MMBtu | |
| Cost to save gas 2010 | 25.89 | million dollars | natural gas cost factor * energy |
| Cost to save gas 2020 | 56.97 | million dollars | |
| Nat gas savings 2010 | 66.28 | million dollars | Multiply natural gas price * energy |
| Nat gas savings 2020 | 204.72 | million dollars | |
| Imp cost 2010 | \$213.72 | million dollars | electricity and natural gas |
| Imp cost 2020 | \$470.18 | million dollars | |
| Savings 2010 | \$754.94 | million dollars | electricity and natural gas |
| Savings 2020 | \$1,719.77 | million dollars | |

**California Public Utility Commission
Strategies from Table 5-2
IOU Additional Energy Efficiency Prog/Dem Response**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|----------------------------|----------|----------------------|--|
| | | | <i>We calculate dollar per Mt factors</i> |
| Cost of Saved Energy | 23.00 | dollars per MWh | Tellus |
| CO2 per kWh | 521 | grams per kWh | GREET for natural gas power plant |
| Cost factor | 0.04 | dollars per kilogram | Divide cost of saved electricity / CO2 per kWh |
| | 44.15 | dollars per Mt | |
| Electricity savings factor | 211.13 | dollars per Mt | |
| Cost-effectiveness | (166.98) | dollars per Mt | |
| | | | <i>Emission reductions</i> |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 6.3 | MMtCO2e | Table 5-2 |
| | | | <i>We calculate savings and costs</i> |
| Savings 2020 | 1,330.09 | million dollars | Multiply savings rate * emission reduction |
| Implementation cost 2020 | 278.12 | million dollars | Multiply cost factor * emission reduction |

**California Public Utility Commission
Strategies from Table 5-2
IOU Combined Heat and Power Initiative**

For the preliminary costs associated with this strategy, we used an emission-weighted average of the two other Table 5-2 CPUC strategies.

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|--------------------------|------------|----------------------|---|
| Reduction PUC1 2020 | 6.3 | MMtCO ₂ e | IOU Additional Energy Efficiency Prog/Dem Response |
| Cost-effectiveness PUC1 | (166.98) | dollars per Mt | |
| Reduction PUC3 2020 | 2.7 | MMtCO ₂ e | IOU Electricity Sector Carbon Policy |
| Cost-effectiveness PUC3 | 10.00 | dollars per Mt | |
| Cost PUC1 | (1,051.98) | \$ million | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Cost PUC3 | 27.00 | \$ million | |
| Total reductions | 9 | MMtCO ₂ e | Add reductions together |
| Total costs | (1,024.98) | \$ million | Add costs together |
| Cost-effectiveness | (113.89) | dollars per Mt | Divide costs by reductions |
| | | | |
| | | | We assume that the emission reduction occurs because users do not have to burn natural gas to create heat, so they spend less on natural gas. |
| Natural gas factor | 141.94 | dollars per Mt | |
| | | | |
| | | | <i>Now we can look at this strategy.</i> |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 1.10 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 4.40 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Net cost 2010 | (125.27) | dollars per Mt | cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Net cost 2020 | (501.10) | dollars per Mt | |
| Savings 2010 | 156.13 | \$ million | natural gas factor * emission reduction |
| Savings 2020 | 624.52 | \$ million | |
| Implementation cost 2010 | 30.86 | \$ million | Net cost = savings + implementation cost |
| Implementation cost 2020 | 123.43 | \$ million | |

**California Public Utility Commission
Strategies from Table 5-2
IOU Electricity Sector Carbon Policy**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---|
| Cost-effectiveness | 10 | dollars per Mt | Tellus |
| | | | |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 1.6 | MMtCO2e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 2.7 | MMtCO2e | Table 5-2 |
| | | | |
| Cost 2010 | 16 | millions of dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Cost 2020 | 27 | millions of dollars | |

**Department of Food and Agriculture
Strategies from Table 5-2
Enteric Fermentation**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Cost-effectiveness | 3 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | Tellus |
| Emission reductions 2010 | 1 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reductions 2020 | 1 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Cost 2010 | 3 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Cost 2020 | 3 | million dollars | |

**Integrated Waste Management Board
Strategies from Table 5-1
Achieve 50% Statewide Recycling Goal**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-------------------------|-------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Cost of waste diversion | 50 | dollars per ton solid waste | IWMB |
| GHG production | 1.82 | MtCO ₂ e per ton solid waste | IWMB |
| C/E | 27.47 | dollars per Mt | Divide Cost by GHG production |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 3 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-1 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 3 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-1 |
| Cost 2010 | 82.42 | million dollars | Multiply C/E * emission reduction |
| Cost 2020 | 82.42 | million dollars | |

**Integrated Waste Management Board
Strategies from Table 5-2
Landfill Methane Capture**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|----------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|---|
| | | | <i>We base the calculation on cost-effectiveness provided by Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics at UC Berkeley</i> |
| Net cost-effectiveness | 1.69 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | UCB |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 2 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 3 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Net cost 2010 | 3.38 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Net cost 2020 | 5.07 | million dollars | |
| | | | <i>We calculate the savings due to captured methane.</i> |
| Natural gas savings factor | 18.6 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | natural gas |
| Recovery factor | 50% | | <i>Not all captured gas is good enough for fuel</i> |
| | | | |
| Savings 2010 | 18.59 | million dollars | Multiply factors times emission reduction |
| Savings 2020 | 27.88 | million dollars | |
| | | | |
| Compliance cost 2010 | 21.97 | million dollars | Net cost = savings + implementation cost |
| Compliance cost 2020 | 32.95 | million dollars | |

**Integrated Waste Management Board
Strategies from Table 5-2
Zero Waste – High Recycling**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-------------------------|--------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Cost of waste diversion | 50 | dollars per ton solid waste | IWMB |
| GHG production | 1.82 | MtCO ₂ e per ton solid waste | IWMB |
| C/E | 27.47 | dollars per Mt | Divide Cost by GHG production |
| Emission reduction 2010 | 0 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 3 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Cost 2010 | - | million dollars | Multiply C/E * emission reduction |
| Cost 2020 | 82.42 | million dollars | |

**Resources Agency
Strategies from Table 5-2
Forest Management**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|--|
| Cost-effectiveness | 23 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | Resources Agency |
| Emission reductions 2010 | 1 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reductions 2020 | 2 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Cost 2010 | 23 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Cost 2020 | 46 | million dollars | |

**Resources Agency
Strategies from Table 5-2
Forest Conservation**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|--|
| Cost-effectiveness | 15 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | Resources Agency |
| Emission reductions 2010 | 4.2 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reductions 2020 | 8.4 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Cost 2010 | 63 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Cost 2020 | 126 | million dollars | |

**Resources Agency
Strategies from Table 5-2
Fuels Management/Biomass**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|--|
| Cost-effectiveness | 20 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | Resources Agency |
| Emission reductions 2010 | 3.4 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reductions 2020 | 6.8 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Cost 2010 | 68 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Cost 2020 | 136 | million dollars | |

**Resources Agency
Strategies from Table 5-2
Urban Forestry**

This strategy has both costs and savings. The costs have to do with planting trees. The savings come from reduced use of air conditioning, as the trees provide shade.

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | <i>We calculate the cost for 2020.</i> |
| Cumulative cost | 500 | million dollars | Resources Agency |
| Capital recovery factor | 0.08 | | For 20 years @ 5% |
| Annualized cost | 40 | million dollars | Multiply CRF * cumulative cost |
| | | | |
| | | | <i>We note the emission reductions.</i> |
| Emission reductions | 3.5 | MMtCO2e | Table 5-2 |
| | | | |
| | | | <i>We calculate how much electricity is saved.</i> |
| CO2 per kWh | 521 | grams per kWh | GREET for natural gas power plant |
| CO2 per GWh | 521 | Mt per GWh | |
| CO2 per MWh | 0.52 | Mt per MWh | |
| CO2 per MWh | 0.00000052 | MMtCO2e per MWh | |
| Electricity reduction | 6,717,850 | MWh | Divide emission reduction by CO2 per MWh |
| | | | |
| | | | <i>We calculate the savings.</i> |
| Price electricity 2020 | 110.00 | dollars per MWh | |
| Savings | 738,941,506 | dollars | Multiply price * electricity reduction |
| Savings | 738.94 | million dollars | |

**Resources Agency
Strategies from Table 5-2
Afforestation/Reforestation**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|--|
| Cost-effectiveness | 20 | dollars per MtCO ₂ e | Resources Agency |
| Emission reductions 2010 | | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reductions 2020 | 12.5 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Cost 2010 | 0 | million dollars | Multiply cost-effectiveness * emission reduction |
| Cost 2020 | 250 | million dollars | |

**Resources Agency
Strategies from Table 5-2
Water Use Efficiency**

| Quantity | Value | Units | Source/Comments |
|---|------------|--------------------------|--|
| Emission reduction 2010 | 0.4 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| Emission reduction 2020 | 1.2 | MMtCO ₂ e | Table 5-2 |
| | | | <i>We calculate the cost.</i> |
| Annual cost of strategy | 30 | million dollars per year | RA |
| Start year, end year | 2008, 2020 | | |
| Cumulative cost | 360 | million dollars | (End year - start year) * annual cost |
| Capital recovery factor | 0.08 | For 20 years @ 5% | |
| Annualized cost 2020 | 28.8 | million dollars | Multiply CRF * cumulative cost |
| Cost factor | 24 | dollars per Mt | Divide 2020 annualized cost by 2020 emission reduction |
| Annualized cost 2010 | 9.6 | million dollars | Multiply cost factor * 2010 emission reduction |
| | | | |
| Electricity savings factor | 211.13 | dollars per Mt | |
| Savings 2010 | 84.45 | million dollars | Multiply electricity savings factor * emission reduction |
| Savings 2020 | 253.35 | million dollars | |
| | | | |
| Summary of costs, to put it all in one place: | | | |
| Annualized cost 2010 | 9.6 | million dollars | |
| Annualized cost 2020 | 28.8 | million dollars | |